## MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

## GENERAL INFORMATION

## **Requestor Name and Address**

BRAZOS VALLEY EMERGENCY PHYSICIANS P O BOX 2283 MANSFIELD TX 76063

# **Respondent Name**

TEXAS MUTUAL INSURANCE CO

## **Carrier's Austin Representative Box**

Box Number 54

## **MFDR Tracking Number**

M4-12-1315-01

#### REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

**Requestor's Position Summary:** "We filed the claim to Texas Mutual on 9/14/2011, within the 95 days timely filing, per instructions at the time of the ER visit...We did not receive reply or payment so we submitted claim again on 11/8/2011...Our bill has been denid as 'The time limit for filing has expired'."

Amount in Dispute: \$180.78

## RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The requestor provided treatment to the claimant on 8/1/11...It alleges it sent the bill and documentation to its electronic billing agent on 9/20/11. To support this, the requestor attached a copy of the fax confirmation sheet to the billing agent. Texas Mutual acknowledges the proof of timely sending to the billing agent. However, there is nothing offered by the requestor to substantiate the billing agent did its job by forwarding the bill and documentation on to Texas Mutual. Texas Mutual received the first billing on 11/11/11...Ninety-five days from 8/1/11 is 11/4/11. The bill was untimely."

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual Insurance Company, 6210 E. Highway 290, Austin, Texas 78723

# **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
August 1, 2011	CPT Codes 99283-25 and 65205	\$180.78	\$0.00

#### FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

## **Background**

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for health care providers to pursue a medical fee dispute.

- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.20 sets out the procedures for health care providers to submit workers' compensation medical bills for reimbursement.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §102.4 sets out the rules for Non-Commission Communications.
- 4. Texas Labor Code §408.027 sets out the rules for timely submission of a claim by a health care provider.
- 5. Texas Labor Code §408.0272 sets out the rules for certain exceptions for untimely submission of a claim by a health care provider.
- 6. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203 sets out the guidelines for reimbursement of professional medical services provided on or after March 1, 2008.
- 7. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:

Explanation of benefits dated November 18, 2011

- CAC-29 THE TIME LIMIT FOR FILING HAS EXPIRED.
- 731 PER 133.20 PROVIDER SHALL NOT SUBMIT A MEDICAL BILL LATER THAN THE 95<sup>TH</sup> DAY AFTER THE DATE THE SERVICE, FOR SERVICES ON OR AFTER 9/1/05.

Explanation of benefits dated December 12, 2011

- CAC-193 ORIGINAL PAYMENT DECISION IS BEING MAINTAINED. UPON REVIEW, IT WAS
  DETERMINED THAT THIS CLAIM WAS PROCESSED PROPERLY.
- CAC-29 THE TIME LIMIT FOR FILING HAS EXPIRED.
- 724 NO ADDITIONAL PAYMENT AFTER A RECONSIDERATION OF SERVICES. FOR INFORMATION CALL 1-800-937-6824
- 731 PER 133.20 PROVIDER SHALL NOT SUBMIT A MEDICAL BILL LATER THAN THE 95<sup>TH</sup> DAY AFTER THE DATE THE SERVICE, FOR SERVICES ON OR AFTER 9/1/05.

### <u>Issues</u>

- 1. Did any of the exceptions listed in Texas Labor Code §408.0272 apply to the medical services in dispute?
- 2. Did the requestor submit documentation to support the disputed bills were submitted timely in accordance with Texas Labor Code, Section §408.027 and 28 Texas Administrative Code §102.4?
- 3. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement?

## **Findings**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.20(b) states, in pertinent part, that, except as provided in Texas Labor Code §408.0272, "a health care provider shall not submit a medical bill later than the 95<sup>th</sup> day after the date the services are provided." No documentation was found to support that any of the exceptions described in Texas Labor Code §408.0272 apply to the services in this dispute. For that reason, the requestor in this dispute was required to submit the medical bill not later than 95 days after the date the disputed services were provided.
- 2. Texas Labor Code §408.027(a) states, in pertinent part, that "Failure by the health care provider to timely submit a claim for payment constitutes a forfeiture of the provider's right to reimbursement for that claim for payment." 28 Texas Administrative Code §102.4(h) states that "Unless the great weight of evidence indicates otherwise, written communications shall be deemed to have been sent on: (1) the date received, if sent by fax, personal delivery, or electronic transmission or, (2) the date postmarked if sent by mail via United States Postal Service regular mail, or, if the postmark date is unavailable, the later of the signature date on the written communication or the date it was received minus five days. If the date received minus five days is a Sunday or legal holiday, the date deemed sent shall be the next previous day which is not a Sunday or legal holiday." The requestor alleges that the medical bill was initially submitted through its billing company on September 20, 2011. Review of the requestor's submitted documentation finds a copy of a facsimile confirmation report to Availity, LLC, the requestor's EDI billing agent on September 20, 2011, in support of its position that the medical bills were sent to its billing company; however, no documentation was found to sufficiently support that the medical bill and documents were in turn sent to the carrier by Availity, LLC. The requestor also alleges that it billed the carrier on November 8, 2011. The respondent's position that the medical bill was received on November 11, 2011 supports the requestor's statement; however the bill date of November 8, 2011 is not within 95 days after the date on which the health care services were provided. Consequently, documentation submitted by the requestor in this medical fee dispute does not sufficiently support that the medical bill was submitted timely. The respondent's denial reasons are supported.
- 3. The requestor failed to sufficiently support timely submission of the services in dispute. Therefore, in accordance with Texas Labor Code §408.027(a) provider's right to reimbursement is forfeited.

## Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has forfeited its right to reimbursement. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

### **ORDER**

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

Authorized Signature		
		February 28, 2012
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

## YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to request an appeal. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party**.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.